


RelayCast: Scalable Multicast Routing in Delay Tolerant Networks

Uichin Lee, Soon Young Oh,
Kang-Won Lee*, Mario Gerla





DTN Multicast Routing

- Delay tolerant networking:
 - Suitable for non-interactive, delay tolerant apps
 - Ranging from connected wireless nets to wireless mobile nets with disruptions (delay tolerant networks)
- Provides reliable data multicast even with disruptions
- DTN multicast routing methods:
 - Tree/mesh (+ mobility), ferry/mule, epidemic dissemination
- DTN multicast questions: **Throughput/delay/buffer bounds?**
- Focus: **dissemination**; upper bound of all cases



DTN Model


- Pair-wise inter-contact time: interval between two contact points



- Common assumption: exponential inter-contact time
 - Random direction, random waypoint, etc.
 - Real world traces also have “exponential” tails [Karagiannis07]
- **Exponential inter-contact time** □ **Inter-contact rate: λ**
 $\sim \text{speed} \times \text{radio range}$ [Groenevelt05]
- Assumption: n nodes in 1×1 unit area; radio range: $O(1/\sqrt{n})$ and speed: $O(1/\sqrt{n}) \Leftrightarrow$ meeting rate: $\lambda = O(1/n)$



2-Hop Relay: DTN Unicast Routing

- Each source has a random destination (n source-destination pairs)
- 2-hop relay protocol:
 1. Source sends a packet to a relay node
 2. Relay node delivers a packet to the corresponding receiver





2-Hop Relay: Throughput/Delay

- Throughput is determined by **aggregate meeting rate**
 - [Src \leftrightarrow relay nodes], [Dest \leftrightarrow relay nodes]
- 2-hop relay throughput: $\Theta(n\lambda)$
 - G&T's results: $\Theta(n\lambda)=\Theta(1)$ for $\lambda=1/n$ (i.e., speed=radio= $1/\sqrt{n}$)
- 2-hop relay delay: $\Theta(1/\lambda)$
 - Avg. time for a relay to meet a dest (\sim exp dist!): $1/\lambda$
 - Ex) For $\lambda=1/n$, avg. delay is $\Theta(n)$ (Neely&Modiano)



RelayCast: DTN Multicast Routing


- 2-hop relay based multicast:
 1. Source sends a packet to a relay node
 2. Relay node delivers the packet to **ALL** multicast receivers



RelayCast: 2-hop relay based multicast


RelayCast: Throughput Analysis

- RelayCast throughput: $\Theta(n\lambda/n_x)$
 - n_s srcs, each of which associated with n_d random dests
 - Multiple srcs may choose the same node as a dest
 - Avg. # of competing sources per receiver: n_x




RelayCast: Delay Analysis

- Relay node delivers a packet to ALL destinations
- n_x competing srcs per dest: individual rate is split to λ/n_x
- RelayCast avg. delay: $\Theta(n_x/\lambda(\log n_d + \gamma))$
 - where γ = Euler constant




RelayCast: Buffer Requirement

- Little's law: $\text{buffer} = (\text{rate}) \times (\text{delay})$
- Buffer per source = $\Theta(n n_d)$
 - Avg. sub-queue length: $\lambda/n_x * n_x / \lambda = \Theta(1)$ by Little's law
 - Each src has n_d dest: packet is replicated to n_d copies
 - Per src buffer at a relay = $\Theta(n_d)$ □ n relays: $\text{buffer} = \Theta(n n_d)$
- Buffer upper bound per source = $\Theta(n^2)$



Comparison with Previous Results

- Assumptions; n fixed, and $r = \sqrt{\log n/n}$ for G&K; $r=1/\sqrt{n}$ for 2-hop relay
- Throughput scaling with $n_s = \Theta(n)$; $n_x = n_s n_d / n = n_d \Leftrightarrow \text{RelayCast} = \Theta(1/n_d)$
- Better throughput than conventional multi-hop multicast (w/ $r = \sqrt{\log n/n}$)**




Signal Processing & Networks
 Relays, Caching & Networks
 : 0001
 Delivery
 Deployment
 & Networks
 Kulkarni
 Ramarathnam
 2006
 Network
 Networks
 Liu, Srikant
 2006
 Li, Tang
 Li, Tang
 Keshav
 - H
 ad
 ad
 Rib

Simulation Results

RelayCast throughput with varying # of relay nodes

- DTN with fixed λ : throughput linearly increases
 - RelayCast throughput = $\Theta(n\lambda)$ for $n_s n_d \leq n$
- As # node increases, interference comes in; throughput is tapered off




QualNet v3.9.5
Network: 5000m x 5000m
Random waypoint
802.11b: 2Mbps
250m radio range
Traffic: $n_s=1$, n_d =# of relay nodes

Simulation Results

Comparison with conventional multicast protocol


- RelayCast is scalable; ODMRP's throughput decreases significantly, as # sources increases
- But delay has significantly increased; RelayCast ~ 2000s vs. ODMRP < 1s



Simulation Results

Average delay with varying # of receivers

- RelayCast delay = $\Theta(n_x/\lambda(\log n_d + \gamma))$
- Delay increases as # of receivers increases



Conclusion

- RelayCast:
 - Provides reliable multicast even with disruption
 - Achieves the maximum throughput bound of DTN multicast routing
- DTN routing protocol design and comparison must consider throughput/delay/buffer trade-offs
- Future work
 - Analysis of other DTN routing strategies
 - Impact of correlated motion patterns: i.e., power-law head and exponential tail inter-contact time distribution